arXiv:1506.00452v1 [math.CO] 1 Jun 2015

Veronese subspace codes

Antonio Cossidente
Dipartimento di Matematica Informatica ed Economia
Università della Basilicata
Contrada Macchia Romana
I-85100 Potenza
Italy
antonio.cossidente@unibas.it
Francesco Pavese
Dipartimento di Matematica Informatica ed Economia
Università della Basilicata
Contrada Macchia Romana
I-85100 Potenza
Italy
francesco.pavese@unibas.it

Proposed Running Head: Veronese subspace codes

Corresponding Author:
Antonio Cossidente
Dipartimento di Matematica Informatica ed Economia
Università della Basilicata
Contrada Macchia Romana
I-85100 Potenza
Italy
antonio.cossidente@unibas.it

Abstract

Using the geometry of quadrics of a projective plane $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ a family of $(6, q^3(q^2-1)(q-1)/3+(q^2+1)(q^2+q+1),4;3)_q$ constant dimension subspace codes is constructed.

KEYWORDS: projective bundle; constant dimension subspace code; Singer cyclic group; Veronese map;
AMS MSC: 51E15, 05B25

1 Introduction

Let V be an n–dimensional vector space over $\mathrm{GF}(q)$, q any prime power. The set $S(V)$ of all subspaces of V, or subspaces of the projective space $\mathrm{PG}(V)$, forms a metric space with respect to the *subspace distance* defined by $d_s(U,U') = \dim(U+U') - \dim(U \cap U')$. In the context of subspace codes, the main problem is to determine the largest possible size of codes in the space $(S(V), d_s)$ with a given minimum distance, and to classify the corresponding optimal codes. The interest in these codes is a consequence of the fact that codes in the projective space and codes in the Grassmannian over a finite field referred to as subspace codes and constant–dimension codes, respectively, have been proposed for error control in random linear network coding. An $(n, M, d; k)_q$ constant–dimension subspace code (CDC) is a set $\mathcal{C}$ of k–subspaces of V with $|\mathcal{C}| = M$ and minimum subspace distance $d_s(\mathcal{C}) = \min\{d_s(U,U') | U, U' \in \mathcal{C}, U \neq U'\} = d$. The smallest open constant–dimension case occurs when $n = 6$ and $k = 3$. From a projective geometry point of view it translates in the determination of the maximum number of planes in $\mathrm{PG}(5,q)$ mutually intersecting in at most one point. In [10], the authors show that the maximum size of a binary subspace code of packet length $n = 6$, minimum subspace distance $d = 4$ and constant dimension $k = 3$ is $M = 77$. Therefore the maximum number of planes in $\mathrm{PG}(5,2)$ mutually intersecting in at most one point is 77. In the same paper, the authors, with the aid of a computer, classify all $(6, 77, 4; 3)_2$ subspace codes into 5 isomorphism types [10, Table 6] and a computer–free construction of one isomorphism type [10, Table 6, A] is provided. This last isomorphism type is then generalized to any q providing a family of $(6, q^6+2q^2+2q+1, 4; 3)_q$ subspace codes [10, Lemma 12]. In [6] the authors provided a construction of families of $(6, q^6+2q^2+2q+1, 4; 3)_q$ subspace codes potentially including the infinite family constructed in [10].

In this paper we construct a family of $(6, q^3(q^2-1)(q-1)/3+(q^2+1)(q^2+q+1),4;3)_q$ CDC. Our approach is purely geometric and the con-

struction relies on the geometry of quadrics of a projective plane $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$. More precisely, we use the correspondence between quadrics of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ and points of $\mathrm{PG}(5,q)$. In this setting, we show that a special net of conics (circumscribed bundle) yields a $(6, q^3(q^2-1)(q-1)/3, 4; 3)_q$ CDC admitting the linear group $\mathrm{PGL}(3,q)$ as an automorphism group. Although the size of such a code asymptotically reaches the theoretical upper bound of a $(6, M, 4; 3)_q$ CDC [10], it turns out that it can be enlarged. This is done in the second part of the paper where we are able to find a set of further $(q^2+1)(q^2+q+1)$ planes of $\mathrm{PG}(5,q)$ mutually intersecting in at most one point and extending the previous code. The $(6, q^3(q^2-1)(q-1)/3+(q^2+1)(q^2+q+1), 4; 3)_q$ CDC so obtained admits the normalizer of a Singer cyclic group of $\mathrm{PGL}(3,q)$ as an automorphism group.

2 The Veronese embedding

Let $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ the Desarguesian projective plane of order q. A *quadric* of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ is the locus of zeros of a quadratic polynomial, say $a_{11}X_1^2+a_{22}X_2^2+a_{33}X_3^2+a_{12}X_1X_2+a_{13}X_1X_3+a_{23}X_2X_3$. There are six parameters associated to such a curve and hence the set of quadrics of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ forms a 5–dimensional projective space. There exist four kinds of quadrics in $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$, three of which are *degenerate* (splitting into lines, which could be in the plane $\mathrm{PG}(2,q^2)$) and one of which is *non–degenerate* [11].

The *Veronese map* v defined by

$$a_{11}X_1^2+a_{22}X_2^2+a_{33}X_3^2+a_{12}X_1X_2+a_{13}X_1X_3+a_{23}X_2X_3 \mapsto (a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{33}, a_{12}, a_{13}, a_{23}),$$

is the correspondence between plane quadrics and the points of $\mathrm{PG}(5,q)$,

The quadrics in $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ are:

1. q^2+q+1 repeated lines;
2. $(q^2+q+1)(q+1)q/2$ quadrics consisting of two distinct lines of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ (bi–lines);
3. $(q^2+q+1)(q-1)q/2$ quadrics consisting of two distinct conjugate lines of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q^2)$ (imaginary bi–lines).
4. q^5-q^2 non–degenerate quadrics (conics).

We will say that a bi–line or an imaginary bi–line is *centered* at A if its lines meet in the point A.

When q is even, all tangent lines to a conic $\mathcal{C}$ pass through a point of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ called the *nucleus* of $\mathcal{C}$.

It is not difficult to see that a quadric of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ is degenerate if and only if its parameters satisfy the polynomial

$$P_1 := X_4X_5X_6 + X_1X_6^2 + X_2X_5^2 + X_3X_4^2,$$

when q is even and

$$P_2 := X_1X_2X_3 + 2X_4X_5X_6 + X_1X_6^2 + X_2X_5^2 + X_3X_4^2,$$

when q is odd.

Notice that from [13, Theorem 25.1.3] the image of the Veronese map v is the dual of the image of the map ζ defined in [13, p. 146].

The group $G := \mathrm{PGL}(3,q)$ acts on $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ and so it also acts naturally on the plane quadrics, and hence also on $\mathrm{PG}(5,q)$. The four sets of quadrics described above are G–orbits. With a slight abuse of notation we will denote by G the group $\mathrm{PGL}(3,q)$ acting on $\mathrm{PG}(5,q)$. We will denote by $\mathcal{O}_i$, $i = 1,2,3,4$ the images under v in $\mathrm{PG}(5,q)$ of the four types of quadrics, respectively. It turns out that $\mathcal{O}_1$ is the *Veronese surface* when q is odd and a plane (called *degenerate Veronese surface*) when q is even. The orbits $\mathcal{O}_i$, $i = 1,2,3$, partition the cubic hypersurface $\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathrm{PG}(5,q)$ with equation $P_1 = 0$ when q is even and with equation $P_2 = 0$ when q is odd.

It should be noted that under the map v a k–dimensional linear system of quadrics of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ corresponds to a $(k-1)$–dimensional projective subspace of $\mathrm{PG}(5,q)$. This means that pencils, nets and webs of quadrics, are represented by lines, planes and solids of $\mathrm{PG}(5,q)$, respectively.

Let us fix a point A of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$. The $q+1$ lines passing through A considered as repeated lines, the $q(q+1)/2$ bi–lines centered at A and the $q(q-1)/2$ imaginary bi–lines centered at A form a net that under the Veronese map v corresponds to a plane π_A contained in $\mathcal{S}$ and meeting $\mathcal{O}_1$ at $q+1$ points forming either a conic (q odd) or a line (q even). Hence, there is a set $\mathcal{N}$ of q^2+q+1 such planes. Also, through a point $P \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{O}_1$ there passes exactly one plane of $\mathcal{N}$ whereas through a point of $\mathcal{O}_1$ there pass $q+1$ planes of $\mathcal{N}$. It follows that two distinct planes in $\mathcal{N}$ meet in a point of $\mathcal{O}_1$.

Let us fix two distinct points of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$, say A and B. Let ℓ be the line joining A and B. There are q^2+q bi–lines of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ containing ℓ. These bi–lines together ℓ (considered as a repeated line) form a net that under the Veronese map v corresponds to a plane π_ℓ contained in $\mathcal{S}$ and tangent to $\mathcal{O}_1$. Hence, there is a set $\mathcal{T}$ of q^2+q+1 such planes. Also, through a point $P \in \mathcal{O}_2$ there pass exactly two planes of $\mathcal{T}$ whereas through a point $P \in \mathcal{O}_1$

there passes exactly one plane of $\mathcal{T}$. It follows that two distinct planes in $\mathcal{T}$ meet in a point of $\mathcal{O}_2$.

3 Circumscribed bundles

There exists a collection of q^2+q+1 conics in $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ that mutually intersect in exactly one point, and hence serve as the lines of another projective plane on the points of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$. Such a collection of conics is called a *projective bundle* of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$. For more details on projective bundles, see [1].

Remark 3.1. Let us embed $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ into $\mathrm{PG}(2,q^3)$, and let σ be the period 3 collineation of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q^3)$ fixing $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$. Let us fix a triangle T of vertices P, P^σ, P^{σ^2} in $\mathrm{PG}(2,q^3)$. Up to date, the known types of projective bundles are as follows [8], [9]:

1. *circumscribed bundle* consisting of all conics of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ containing the vertices of T. This exists for all q;
2. *inscribed bundle* consisting of all conics of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ that are tangent to the three sides of T. This exists for all odd q;
3. *self–polar bundle* consisting of all conics of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ with respect to which T is self–polar. This exists for all odd q.

From [1] the conics of a circumscribed bundle form a net.

Remark 3.2. A cyclic group of G permuting points (lines) of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ in a single orbit is called a *Singer cyclic group* of G. A generator of a Singer cyclic group is called a *Singer cycle*.

A Singer cyclic group of G has order q^2+q+1 and its normalizer in G turns out to be a metacyclic group of order $3(q^2+q+1)$. For more details, see [14].

Remark 3.3. All these projective bundles are invariant under the normalizer of a Singer cyclic group of G.

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a circumscribed bundle of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$. We will need the following result, which extends [6, Lemma 3.2].

Lemma 3.4. *Consider two distinct conics C_0, C_∞ of a circumscribed bundle $\mathcal{B}$. If q is even, their nuclei are distinct. If q is odd, for a point $P \in \mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ the polar lines of P with respect to C_0 and C_∞ are distinct.*

Proof. If q is even or if q is odd and $P = C_0 \cap C_\infty$ then the result follows from [6, Lemma 3.2]. Assume that q is odd and $P \neq C_0 \cap C_\infty$. Let r_0 and r_∞ be the polar lines of P with respect to C_0 and C_∞, respectively. By way of contradiction let $r_0 = r_\infty$. If $P \in C_0$ then $P \in r_0$ but $P \notin r_\infty$, a contradiction.

Let A_0, A_∞, be the symmetric 3×3 matrices associated to C_0 and C_∞, respectively. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{C_\lambda, \lambda \in \mathrm{GF}(q) \cup \{\infty\}\}$ be the pencil generated by C_0 and C_∞. We have that the quadrics of $\mathcal{F}$ are the conics (non degenerate quadrics) of $\mathcal{B}$ through $C_0 \cap C_\infty$ and they cover all points of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$. Let $\perp_\lambda$ denote the polarity associated with the conic in $C_\lambda \in \mathcal{F}$. The product $\perp_0 \perp_\infty$ is then a projectivity of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ fixing P whose associated matrix is $A_0^{-t} A_\infty$, where t denotes transposition. In other terms $(A_0^{-t} A_\infty)(P^t) = \rho P^t$, for some $\rho \in \mathrm{GF}(q) \setminus \{0\}$. Analogously, $\perp_0 \perp_\lambda$ is a projectivity of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ whose associated matrix is $A_0^{-t}(A_0 + \lambda A_\infty)$ and fixing P. Indeed, $(A_0^{-t}(A_0 + \lambda A_\infty))(P^t) = (I + \lambda A_0^{-t} A_\infty)(P^t) = P^t + \lambda \rho P^t = (1 + \lambda\rho)(P^t)$. It turns out that $(P^{\perp_\lambda})^{\perp_0} = P$ if and only if $P^{\perp_\lambda} = r_0$ if and only if $r_0^{\perp_\lambda} = P$ for every $\lambda \in \mathrm{GF}(q) \setminus \{0\}$. Let $\lambda_0 \in \mathrm{GF}(q) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $P \in C_{\lambda_0}$. Then $P \in P^{\perp_{\lambda_0}} = r_0$ and hence $P \in r_0$, a contradiction. □

Remark 3.5. Notice that if q is odd then the projectivity obtained as the product of two polarities associated to distinct conics of a circumscribed bundle is fixed point free.

Since $\mathcal{B}$ is stabilized by the normalizer N of a Singer cyclic group S of G that is maximal in G [4] we get that $\mathcal{B}^G$ has size $q^3(q^2-1)(q-1)/3$.

From [7] the group G has three orbits on points and lines of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q^3)$. The orbits on points are the q^2+q+1 points of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$, the $(q^3-q)(q^2+q+1)$ points on lines of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q^3)$ that are not in $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ and the remaining set E of $q^3(q^2-1)(q-1)$ points of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q^3)$. The G–orbits on lines are the q^2+q+1 lines of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$, the $(q^3-q)(q^2+q+1)$ lines meeting $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ in a point and the set L of $q^3(q^2-1)(q-1)$ lines external to $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$. The group N fixes a triangle T whose vertices are points of E and whose edges are lines of L.

We will need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.6. *Let T^G be the orbit of T under G. If T_1 and T_2 are distinct elements of T^G then the union of their vertices always contains a 5–arc, i.e., 5 points of π no three of which are collinear.*

Proof. The stabilizer of T in G contains N that is maximal in G and hence $|T^G| = q^3(q^2-1)(q-1)/3$. Let us consider the incidence structure whose

points are the points of E and whose blocks are the vertex sets of the triangles of T^G. The incidence relation is containment. It turns out that through a point of E there pass exactly one triangle of T^G. Analogously, let us consider the incidence structure whose points are the lines of L and whose blocks are the edge sets of the triangles of T^G. The incidence relation is containment. It turns out that through a line of L there exists exactly one triangle of T^G having that line as an edge. As a consequence, the union of two triangles of T^G always contains a 5–arc of π. □

Corollary 3.7. *Two distinct circumscribed bundles of $\mathcal{B}^G$ share at most one conic.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B}_1$ and $\mathcal{B}_2$ be two distinct circumscribed bundles in $\mathcal{B}^G$. Let T_i be the triangle associated to $\mathcal{B}_i$, $i = 1, 2$. By way of contradiction assume that C_1 and C_2 are distinct conics in $\mathcal{B}_1 \cap \mathcal{B}_2$. Then C_1 and C_2, considered as conics of π, contain the vertices of both triangles T_1 and T_2. From Lemma 3.6 and from [11, Corollary 7.5] we get a contradiction. □

Under the Veronese map v the circumscribed bundles in $\mathcal{B}^G$ correspond to a set $\mathcal{C}$ of $q^3(q^2-1)(q-1)/3$ planes of $\mathrm{PG}(5,q)$ mutually intersecting in at most one point. Since no quadric in a bundle is degenerate, a plane of $\mathcal{C}$ is always disjoint from $\mathcal{S}$.

4 Two special webs of quadrics

Firstly, we recall some basic properties of three–dimensional non–degenerate quadrics.

A *hyperbolic quadric* $\mathcal{Q}^+(3,q)$ of $\mathrm{PG}(3,q)$ consists of $(q+1)^2$ points of $\mathrm{PG}(3,q)$ and $2(q+1)$ lines that are the union of two reguli. A *regulus* is the set of lines intersecting three skew lines and has size $q+1$. Through a point of $\mathcal{Q}^+(3,q)$ there pass two lines belonging to different reguli. A plane of $\mathrm{PG}(3,q)$ is either secant to $\mathcal{Q}^+(3,q)$ and meets $\mathcal{Q}^+(3,q)$ in a conic or it is tangent to $\mathcal{Q}^+(3,q)$ and meets $\mathcal{Q}^+(3,q)$ in a bi–line.

An *elliptic quadric* $\mathcal{Q}^-(3,q)$ of $\mathrm{PG}(3,q)$ consists of q^2+1 points of $\mathrm{PG}(3,q)$ such that no three of them are collinear. A plane of $\mathrm{PG}(3,q)$ is either secant to $\mathcal{Q}^-(3,q)$ and meets $\mathcal{Q}^-(3,q)$ in a conic or it is tangent to $\mathcal{Q}^-(3,q)$ and meets $\mathcal{Q}^-(3,q)$ in a point. For more details on hyperbolic and elliptic quadrics in a three–dimensional projective space we refer to [12].

Let P_1, P_2 be two distinct points of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$. Since G is 2–transitive on points of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ we can always assume that $P_1 = (1,0,0)$ and $P_2 = (0,1,0)$.

The set of quadrics of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ passing through P_1 and P_2 are those having the coefficients $a_{11} = a_{22} = 0$ and forms a web W. Under the Veronese map v, W corresponds to the solid $v(W)$ with equations $X_1 = X_2 = 0$. The solid $v(W)$ intersects $\mathcal{S}$ into the set of points satisfying the equations $X_4(2X_5X_6 - X_3X_4) = 0$ and $X_4(X_5X_6 - X_3X_4) = 0$ accordingly as q is odd or even, respectively. In both cases, this set consists of a hyperbolic quadric Q and a plane tangent π to Q at the point $R = (0,0,1,0,0,0)$. In particular, π meets Q at $2q+1$ points forming a bi–line centered at R. The point R corresponds to the repeated line P_1P_2 and the remaining $2q$ points correspond to the bi–lines of W centered at P_1 and P_2. It is easily seen that the number of such solids (hyperbolic solids) is $q(q+1)(q^2+q+1)/2$.

Assume that P_1, P_2 are points of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q^2) \setminus \mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ conjugate over $\mathrm{GF}(q)$. Since G is transitive on points of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q^2) \setminus \mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ we can assume that $P_1 = (1,\alpha,0)$ and so $P_2 = (1,\alpha^q,0)$, where α is a primitive element of $\mathrm{GF}(q^2)$ over $\mathrm{GF}(q)$. Again, the set of quadrics of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q^2)$ passing through P_1 and P_2 are those whose coefficients satisfy $a_{11} = \alpha^{q+1}a_{22}$ and $a_{12} = -(\alpha+\alpha^q)a_{22}$ and forms a web U . Under the Veronese map v, U corresponds to the solid $v(U)$ with equations $X_1 = \alpha^{q+1}X_2$ and $X_4 = -(\alpha+\alpha^q)X_2$. The solid $v(U)$ intersects $\mathcal{S}$ into the set of points satisfying the equations $X_2(X_6^2 + \alpha^{q+1}X_5^2 + (\alpha+\alpha^q)X_5X_6 + ((\alpha+\alpha^q)^2 - \alpha^{q+1})X_2X_3) = 0$ and $X_2((\alpha+\alpha^q)^2X_2X_3 + \alpha^{q+1}X_6^2 + (\alpha+\alpha^q)X_5X_6 + X_5^2) = 0$ accordingly as q is odd or even, respectively. Notice that the polynomial $X^2+(\alpha+\alpha^q)X+\alpha^{q+1}$ is irreducible over $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ and that, if q is odd, α^{q+1} is a nonsquare element of $\mathrm{GF}(q)$. Therefore, in both cases, this set consists of an elliptic quadric Q' and a plane π tangent to Q' at the point $R = (0,0,1,0,0,0)$. In this case, the number of such solids (elliptic solids) is $q(q-1)(q^2+q+1)/2$.

The plane π is contained in $\mathcal{S}$, belongs to $\mathcal{T}$ and meets $\mathcal{O}_1$ at the point R.

In the sequel a hyperbolic or elliptic solid will be denoted by $\Sigma = (\tau, Q)$ where $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$ is contained in Σ and Q is the three–dimensional hyperbolic or elliptic quadric contained in $\Sigma \cap \mathcal{S}$. We will denote by $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ the set of hyperbolic solids and elliptic solids, respectively.

Now, we do investigate how two solids (elliptic or hyperbolic) can intersect.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\Sigma_1 = (\pi_1, Q_1)$, $\Sigma_2 = (\pi_2, Q_2)$ be two distinct hyperbolic solids. Then, one of the following cases occur:*

1. *$\Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2$ is a plane, $\pi_1 = \pi_2$ and $|Q_1 \cap Q_2| = q+1$;*
2. *$\Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2$ is a plane, $\pi_1 = \pi_2$ and $|Q_1 \cap Q_2| = 1$;*

3. $\Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2$ *is a plane,* $|\pi_1 \cap \pi_2| = 1$ *and* $|Q_1 \cap Q_2| = q + 2$*;*

4. $\Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2$ *is a line,* $|\pi_1 \cap \pi_2| = 1$ *and* $|Q_1 \cap Q_2| = 2$*;*

Proof. Let us assume that Σ_i corresponds to the web defined by the points A_i, B_i, $i = 1, 2$. Let ℓ_i be the line A_iB_i, $i = 1, 2$.

1. The pairs A_1, B_1 and A_2, B_2 share a point and $\ell_1 = \ell_2$. Then we can assume that $A_2 = B_1$. In this case it is clear that $\pi_1 = \pi_2$ and the $q+1$ points of $Q_1 \cap Q_2$ correspond to the bi–lines centered at $A_2 = B_1$ of the relevant webs together with $\ell_1 = \ell_2$ considered as a repeated line.

2. The pairs A_1, B_1 and A_2, B_2 share no point and $\ell_1 = \ell_2$. In this case it is clear that $\pi_1 = \pi_2$ and the point of $Q_1 \cap Q_2$ corresponds to $\ell_1 = \ell_2$ considered as a repeated line.

3. The pairs A_1, B_1 and A_2, B_2 share the point $A_2 = B_1 = \ell_1 \cap \ell_2$. In this case the planes π_1 and π_2 share only the point corresponding to the bi–line $\ell_1\ell_2$. On the other hand, $Q_1 \cap Q_2$ contains the $q+1$ points corresponding to the bi–lines centered at a point of the line A_1B_2 and containing the line A_1B_2 and the line through A_2. Also, $Q_1 \cap Q_2$ contains the point corresponding to the bi–line $\ell_1\ell_2$.

4. The pairs A_1, B_1 and A_2, B_2 share no point and $\ell_1 \neq \ell_2$.

 4.1 $\ell_1 \cap \ell_2 = A_2$.
 In this case π_1 and π_2 share only the point corresponding to the bi–line $\ell_1\ell_2$. Here, $Q_1 \cap Q_2$ consists of the two points corresponding to the bi–line $\ell_1A_1B_2$ centered in A_1 and the bi–line $\ell_1B_1B_2$ centered at B_2. The line joining the points of $Q_1 \cap Q_2$ lies on π_1.

 4.2 The points A_1, B_1, A_2, B_2 form a 4–arc in $\mathrm{PG}(2, q)$. In this case π_1 and π_2 share only the point corresponding to the bi–line $\ell_1\ell_2$. Here, $Q_1 \cap Q_2$ consists of the two points corresponding to the bi–line containing the linesA_1A_2 and B_1B_2 and the bi–line containing A_1B_2 and A_2B_1.

 4.3 $\ell_1 \cap \ell_2 = B_1$. In this case by switching ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 we are again in the case 4.1.

□

Proposition 4.2. *Let* $\Sigma_1 = (\pi_1, Q_1)$, $\Sigma_2 = (\pi_2, Q_2)$ *be two distinct elliptic solids. One of the following cases occur:*

1. $\Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2$ *is a plane,* $\pi_1 = \pi_2$ *and* $|Q_1 \cap Q_2| = 1$*;*

2. $\Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2$ *is a line,* $|\pi_1 \cap \pi_2| = 1$ *and* $|Q_1 \cap Q_2| = 2$*;*

Proof. Let us assume that Σ_i corresponds to the web defined by the points A_i, A_i^q, $i = 1, 2$. Let ℓ_i be the line $A_i A_i^q$, $i = 1, 2$.

1. Assume that $\ell_1 = \ell_2$. In this case it is clear that $\pi_1 = \pi_2$ and that the unique intersection point between Q_1 and Q_2 corresponds to the repeated line $\ell_1 = \ell_2$.

2. Assume that $\ell_1 \neq \ell_2$. In this case π_1 and π_2 share a unique point corresponding to the bi–line $\ell_1 \ell_2$. Here $Q_1 \cap Q_2$ consists of the two points corresponding to the two imaginary bi–lines containing the lines $A_1 A_2$, $A_1^q A_2^q$ and $A_1 A_2^q$, $A_1^q A_2$, respectively.

□

5 Two special nets of quadrics

As already observed, the Singer cyclic group S permutes the points (lines) of $\mathrm{PG}(2, q)$ in a single orbit. Under the action of S, the set of $q(q+1)(q^2+q+1)/2$ bi–lines of $\mathrm{PG}(2, q)$ is partitioned into $q(q+1)/2$ orbits of size q^2+q+1. Let us fix one of the $q(q+1)/2$ orbits of bi–lines, say b, and let us consider the incidence structure whose points are the lines of $\mathrm{PG}(2, q)$ and whose blocks are the bi–lines of b. It turns out that a line ℓ is contained in exactly two bi–lines, say b_1 and b_2, of b centered at two distinguished points of ℓ, say A_1 and A_2, respectively. Let s be the unique element of S such that $A_1^s = A_2$. Then $b_1^s = b_2$.

Let $\mathcal{P}_{A_1}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{A_2}$ be the pencils of lines with vertices A_1 and A_2. Clearly, s is a projectivity sending $\mathcal{P}_{A_1}$ to $\mathcal{P}_{A_2}$ that does not map the line ℓ onto itself. In [15] it is proved that the set of points of intersection of corresponding lines under s is a conic C passing through A_1 and A_2 (Steiner's argument). The projectivity s maps the tangent line to C at A_1 onto the line ℓ and the line ℓ onto the tangent line to C at A_2. Moreover, for any two distinct points A and B of a conic there exists a projectivity $\psi \in S$ sending A to B and such that C is the set of points of intersection of corresponding lines under ψ. Assume that $b_i = \ell \ell_i$, $i = 1, 2$. Since s sends ℓ_1 to ℓ and ℓ to ℓ_2, it follows that ℓ_i is tangent to C at A_i, $i = 1, 2$. Embed $\mathrm{PG}(2, q)$ into $\mathrm{PG}(2, q^3)$. We have denoted by T be the unique triangle of $\mathrm{PG}(2, q^3)$ fixed by S. Considering $\mathcal{P}_{A_1}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{A_2}$ as pencils in $\mathrm{PG}(2, q^3)$ and repeating the

previous argument, a conic $\bar{C}$ of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q^3)$ passing through the vertices of T and containing C arises. It follows that C is a member of the circumscribed bundle $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ left invariant by S. Let $A_3 \in C \setminus \{A_1, A_2\}$ and let b_3 the bi–line of b centered at A_3. Let s' be the unique element of S sending A_1 to A_3. Then $b_1^{s'} = b_3$. Steiner's argument with s replaced by s', applied to the pencils $\mathcal{P}_{A_1}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{A_3}$, gives rise to a conic C' that necessarily belongs to $\mathcal{B}$. Furthermore, being unique the conic of $\mathcal{B}$ through two distinct points of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ it follows that $C = C'$. Since $A_1^{s'} = A_3$ and $A_1 \in \ell_1$ then $A_1^{s'} = A_3 \in \ell_1^{s'}$. On the other hand, the point $\ell_1^{s'} \cap \ell_1$ lies on C and of course it lies on ℓ_1. Since the line ℓ_1 is tangent to C at A_1 we have that $\ell_1^{s'} \cap \ell_1$ is the point A_1 or, in other words, $\ell_1^{s'}$ is the line A_1A_3. Analogously, the point $\ell^{s'} \cap \ell$ lies on C and of course it lies on ℓ. Therefore $\ell^{s'}$ is either the line A_1A_2 or the line A_1A_3. Since $\ell_1 \neq \ell$ it follows that $\ell^{s'} = A_2A_3$. We have showed that b_3 is the bi–line containing the lines A_1A_3 and A_2A_3.

We have proved the following Proposition.

Proposition 5.1. *For any conic C of $\mathcal{B}$ there exists two distinguished points P_1 and P_2 of C such that the elements of b centered at a point of C are as follows: $t_{P_1}r$, $t_{P_2}r$, r_1r_2, where t_{P_i} is the tangent line to C at P_i, $i = 1, 2$, r is the line P_1P_2, r_i is the line PP_i, $i = 1, 2$, and P ranges over $C \setminus \{P_1, P_2\}$.*

Remark 5.2. Notice that there exists a one to one correspondence between the orbits of S on bi–lines and secant lines to C.

Remark 5.3. With the notation introduced in Proposition 5.1, notice that, from [11, Table 7.7] the pencil generated by the bi–lines r_1r_2 and ru, where $t_{P1} \cap t_{P_2} \in u$ and $P_1, P_2, P_3 \notin u$, contains exactly a further bi–line. Moreover, this bi–line is centered at a point of C. Indeed, let C be the conic with equation $X_1X_3 - X_2^2 = 0$. The stabilizer of C in G is isomorphic to $\mathrm{PGL}(2,q)$ and acts 3–transitively on points of C. Hence, without loss of generality, we can assume that $P_1 = (1,0,0)$, $P_2 = (0,0,1)$ and $P_3 = (1,1,1)$. Let v_i be the line joining the point P_3 and the point P_i, $i = 1, 2$. Then$b_3 = v_1v_2$. Notice that $U = t_{P_1} \cap t_{P_2} = (0,1,0)$. Let u be a line passing through U and containing none of the points P_i, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Let b_4 be the bi–line uP_1P_2. Then $b_3 \cap b_4$ consists of the four points $P_1, P_2, (1,1,t), (1,t,t)$, with $t \neq 0, 1$. It turns out that the bi–line consisting of the lines $(1,t,t)P_2$ and $(1,1,t)P_1$ is centered at the point $(1,t,t^2) \in C$.

The following Proposition could be of some interest.

Proposition 5.4. *The incidence structure whose points are the elements of b and whose lines are the conics of the circumscribed bundle $\mathcal{B}$, where a*

bi–line is incident with a conic if it is centered at one of its points, forms a projective plane.

Proof. We have that $|b| = |\mathcal{B}| = q^2+q+1$. Since through a point of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ there pass $q+1$ conics of $\mathcal{B}$, we have that a bi–line of b is incident with $q+1$ conics of $\mathcal{B}$. On the other hand a conic is incident with $q+1$ bi–lines of b. In particular we have seen that to a conic C of $\mathcal{B}$ are associated two distinguished points $P, P^s \in C$, where $s \in S$ and all the bi–lines of b incident with C contain both P, P^s. Let us consider now a conic of $\mathcal{B} \setminus \{C\}$. Then it is necessarily of the form C^μ, for some non–trivial element $\mu \in S$. Then two possibilities occur according as one of the points $P^\mu, P^{s\mu}$ does belong to C or does not. If the first case occurs then, assuming that P^μ is the point belonging to C, we have that $C \cap C^\mu = P^\mu$. If t_P denotes the tangent line to C at the point P, it turns out that t_P^μ is the tangent line to C^μ at the point P^μ and $t_P^\mu = PP^\mu$. Therefore the unique bi–line of b incident with both C and C^μ is centered at P^μ. If the latter case occurs, then, by construction (a la Steiner) , $PP^\mu \cap P^s P^{s\mu} = PP^\mu \cap P^s P^{\mu s} = PP^\mu \cap (PP^\mu)^s$ is the unique point in common between C and C^μ. Therefore the unique bi–line of b incident with both C and C^μ is centered at $C \cap C^\mu$. □

With the notation introduced in Proposition 5.1 let us consider the three bi–lines $t_{P_1}r$, $t_{P_2}r$ and r_1r_2, where $r_1 \cap r_2 = P \in C \setminus \{P_1, P_2\}$. Under the map v they correspond to three points R_1, R_2, R_3 of $\mathcal{O}_2$, respectively. From the classification of pencils of quadrics of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ in [11, Table 7.7] the line joining R_1 and R_2 corresponds to the unique pencil $\mathcal{P}$ whose members are all bi–lines and having a base consisting of $q+2$ points. Hence the line R_1R_2 is completely contained in $\mathcal{O}_2$. In particular, the bi–lines of $\mathcal{P}$ are those containing the line r and the line $t_{P_1} \cap t_{P_2}A$, where A ranges over r. It follows that the bi–line corresponding to R_3 cannot belong to $\mathcal{P}$. Let $v(b)$ be the image of b under v. Of course $v(b)$ contains R_i, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Let π_e be the plane of $\mathrm{PG}(5,q)$ generated by R_1, R_2, R_3 and let Π_e denote the set of planes obtained in this way.

The plane π_e meets $\mathcal{O}_2$ at $2q$ points consisting of the line R_1R_2 and of further $q-1$ points. Also, the plane π_e meets $v(b)$ in $q+1$ points containing R_1, R_2, R_3. Indeed, from Remark 5.3, through the point R_3 there are $q-2$ lines intersecting $\mathcal{O}_2$ in three points and $v(b)$ in two points.

It follows that the points of $\pi_e \cap \mathcal{O}_2$ correspond to the bi–lines of b centered at points of C. We have that $|\Pi_e| = q(q+1)(q^2+q+1)/2$

On the other hand, the plane π_e is contained in the hyperbolic solid defined by the points P_1, P_2 and then $\pi_e \cap \mathcal{O}_2$ consists of a conic and a line secant to it.

Since the number of hyperbolic solids equals $|\Pi_e|$ and each plane of Π_e is contained in at least a hyperbolic solid, it follows that there exists a one to one correspondence between planes of Π_e and hyperbolic solids.

Now, let S' be the unique Singer cyclic group of $\mathrm{PGL}(3,q^2)$ containing S. It is clear that the circumscribed bundle $\mathcal{B}'$ of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q^2)$ fixed by S' induces the circumscribed bundle $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ fixed by S.

Let b'_1 be the imaginary bi–line containing the lines r, r^q and centered at the point $P \in \mathrm{PG}(2,q)$. Let C be a conic of $\mathcal{B}$ through P and let $\bar{C}$ be the unique conic of $\mathcal{B}'$ containing C.

Let $\bar{b}$ be the orbit of b'_1 under S'. As already observed above there exist two points, say P_1, P_2 on $\bar{C}$ such that all elements of $\bar{b}$ centered at a point of $\bar{C}$ pass through P_1 and P_2. Also, since $P_1 \cup P_2 \in r \cup r^q$ and the tangent line to C at P is a line of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$, it follows that $P_1, P_2 \notin \mathrm{PG}(2,q)$. Under the action of S, $\bar{b}$ is partitioned into q^2-q+1 orbits of size q^2+q+1. Among these, we denote by b' the unique S–orbit consisting of imaginary bi–lines. It turns out that a member of b' consists of the lines $z = RP_1$ and $z^q = RP_2$ for some $R \in C$. Let $R_1, R_2 \in C$, $R_1 \neq R_2$. Let $r_i = R_iP_1$ and $r_i^q = R_iP_2$, $i = 1,2$. Since $r_1 \cap r_2 = P_1$ it follows that $r_1^q \cap r_2^q = P_1^q$ and then $P_2 = P_1^q$.

Notice that the line P_1P_2 arises from a line a of $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$ that is external to C. Let $A = t_{P_1} \cap t_{P_2}$, where t_{P_1} and t_{P_2} are the tangent lines to $\bar{C}$ at P_1 and P_2, respectively. Then $A \in \mathrm{PG}(2,q)$. Indeed, when q is odd, A is the conjugate of a with respect to C. When q is even, A is the nucleus of both C and $\bar{C}$.

Proposition 5.5. *For any conic C of $\mathcal{B}$ there exists two distinguished points P and P^q of $\bar{C}$ not on C such that the elements of b' centered at a point of C are of the form XP, XP^q, where X ranges over C.*

Remark 5.6. Notice that there exists a one to one correspondence between the orbits of S on imaginary bi–lines and lines external to C.

Similar arguments used in Proposition 5.4 give the following result.

Proposition 5.7. *The incidence structure whose points are the elements of b' and whose lines are the conics of the circumscribed bundle $\mathcal{B}$, where an imaginary bi–line is incident with a conic if it is centered at one of its points, forms a projective plane.*

Let d_i be the bi–line consisting of the lines a and D_iA, $i = 1,2$, where D_1, D_2 are distinct points of a.

With the notation introduced in Proposition 5.5, let us consider two bi–lines of the form a, D_iA, $i = 1,2$ and the imaginary bi–line XP, XP^q, for

some $X \in C$. Under the map v they correspond to three points R_1, R_2, R_3, respectively. The points R_1 and R_2 are in $\mathcal{O}_2$, whereas $R_3 \in \mathcal{O}_3$. From the classification of pencils of quadrics of PG$(2,q)$ in [11, Table 7.7] the line joining R_1 and R_2 corresponds to the unique pencil $\mathcal{P}$ whose members are all bi–lines and having a base consisting of $q+2$ points. Hence the line R_1R_2 is completely contained in $\mathcal{O}_2$. In particular, the bi–lines of $\mathcal{P}$ are those containing the line a and the line DA, where D ranges over a. Of course the imaginary bi–line corresponding to R_3 cannot belong to $\mathcal{P}$. Let $v(b')$ be the image of b' under v. Of course $v(b')$ contains R_i, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Let π_i be the plane of PG$(5,q)$ generated by R_1, R_2, R_3 and let Π_i denote the set of planes obtained in this way.

The plane π_i meets $\mathcal{O}_2$ in the line R_1R_2 and $\mathcal{O}_3$ in further $q+1$ points. Indeed, from the classification of pencils of quadrics of PG$(2,q)$ in [11, Table 7.7], through the point R_3 there are q lines intersecting $\mathcal{O}_3$ in two points and $\mathcal{O}_2$ in one point. Each of these lines corresponds to the unique pencil consisting of $q-2$ conics, a bi–line and two imaginary bi–lines. Also, there exists a unique line through the point R_3 intersecting both $\mathcal{O}_2$, $\mathcal{O}_3$ in one point. Such a line corresponds to the unique pencil consisting of $q-1$ conics one bi–line and one imaginary bi–line. It follows that the points of $\pi_i \cap \mathcal{O}_3$ correspond to the imaginary bi–lines of b' centered at points of C. We have that $|\Pi_i| = q(q-1)(q^2+q+1)/2$

On the other hand, the plane π_i is contained in the elliptic solid defined by the points P, P^q and then $\pi_i \cap \mathcal{O}_3$ consists of a conic and $\pi_i \cap \mathcal{O}_2$ of a line external to it.

Since the number of elliptic solids equals $|\Pi_i|$ and each plane of Π_i is contained in at least an elliptic solid, it follows that there exists a one to one correspondence between planes of Π_i and elliptic solids.

6 Lifting Singer cycles

Here, we assume that q is odd. From [14], we may assume that S is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^q & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega^{q^2} \end{pmatrix},$$

where ω is a primitive element of GF(q^3) over GF(q). It follows that the lifting of S to a collineation of PG$(5,q)$ fixing the Veronese surface $\mathcal{O}_1$ has the following canonical form $A = diag(S^2, S^{q+1})$ [2].

The group $\langle A\rangle$ has order q^2+q+1. Geometrically, $\langle A\rangle$ fixes two planes of $\mathrm{PG}(5,q)$, say ρ_1, ρ_2, and partition the remaining points of $\mathrm{PG}(5,q)$ into Veronese surfaces, [2, Corollary 5]. In particular, the planes ρ_1 and ρ_2 are both full orbits of $\langle A\rangle$ and disjoint from the cubic hypersurface $\mathcal{S}$ [3].

From [2] the cubic hypersurface $\mathcal{S}$ is partitioned under $\langle A\rangle$ into Veronese surfaces. The hypersurface $\mathcal{S}$ has $(q^2+1)(q^2+q+1)$ points and hence it consists of q^2+1 Veronese surfaces.

7 The construction of subspace codes

In this Section we prove our main result.

Lemma 7.1. *Two distinct planes of Π_e can meet in at most one point.*

Proof. Let σ_1,σ_2 be two distinct planes of Π_e. From Section 5 there exist uniquely determined hyperbolic solids $\Sigma_1=(\pi_1,Q_1)$ and $\Sigma_2=(\pi_2,Q_2)$ of $\mathcal{H}$ containing σ_1 and σ_2, respectively. Let $c_i=\sigma_i\cap Q_i$ be the conic in Σ_i, $i=1,2$.

Assume first that c_1,c_2 belong to the same S–orbit. Then, from Proposition 5.4, c_1 and c_2 share exactly one point. Since S permutes the planes of $\mathcal{T}$ in a single orbit we have that $\pi_1\neq\pi_2$. Therefore, from Proposition 4.1, $Q_1\cap Q_2$ consists of either 2 or $q+2$ points ($q+1$ points on a line together with a further point Y). Assume that $y=\sigma_1\cap\sigma_2$ is a line. If $|Q_1\cap Q_2|=2$, then the conics c_1 and c_2 should share two points, a contradiction. If $|Q_1\cap Q_2|=q+2$, then it turns out that $c_1\cap c_2=\{Y\}$. On the other hand, since $y\subseteq\Sigma_1\cap\Sigma_2$, the line y contains Y and must be secant to both Q_1 and Q_2. Hence, again, the conics c_1 and c_2 should share two points, a contradiction.

Assume that c_1,c_2 do not belong to the same S–orbit. Then c_1 and c_2 have no point in common. Assume that $y=\sigma_1\cap\sigma_2$ is a line. If $Q_1\cap Q_2$ consists of either 2 or $q+1$ or $q+2$ points, then since $y\subseteq\Sigma_1\cap\Sigma_2$, from Proposition 4.1, the conics c_1 and c_2 should share at least one point, a contradiction. If $|Q_1\cap Q_2|=1$, since $y\subseteq\Sigma_1\cap\Sigma_2$, then the line y either contains the point $Q_1\cap Q_2$ and, again, the conics c_1 and c_2 should share one point, a contradiction, or the line y is secant to both c_1, c_2 and $y\cap(c_1\cup c_2)$ consists of four distinct points. If this last case occurs, then, under the inverse of the map v, these four points correspond to four distinct bi–lines having in common $q+1$ points of a line z and a further point $Z\notin z$. In particular, let c_i' denote the conic of the circumscribed bundle $\mathcal{B}$ locus of centers of the bi–lines corresponding to points of c_i, $i=1,2$. It turns out

that $c_1' \neq c_2'$ (see Remark 5.2) and z is the polar line of the point Z with respect to both c_1' and c_2', when q is odd or Z is the nucleus of both c_1' and c_2', when q is even. But this contradicts Lemma 3.4. □

Lemma 7.2. *Two distinct planes of Π_i can meet in at most one point.*

Proof. Let σ_1, σ_2 be two planes of Π_i. From Section 5 there exist uniquely determined elliptic solids $\Sigma_1 = (\pi_1, Q_1)$ and $\Sigma_2 = (\pi_2, Q_2)$ of $\mathcal{E}$ containing σ_1 and σ_2, respectively. Let $c_i = \sigma_i \cap Q_i$ be the conic in Σ_i, $i = 1, 2$.

Assume first that c_1, c_2 belong to the same S–orbit. Then, from Proposition 5.7, c_1 and c_2 share exactly one point. Since S permutes the planes of $\mathcal{T}$ in a single orbit we have that $\pi_1 \neq \pi_2$. Therefore, from Proposition 4.2, $Q_1 \cap Q_2$ consists of 2 points. Assume that $y = \sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2$ is a line, then the conics c_1 and c_2 should share two points, a contradiction.

Assume that c_1, c_2 does not belong to the same S–orbit. Then c_1 and c_2 have no point in common. Assume that $y = \sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2$ is a line. If $Q_1 \cap Q_2$ consists of 2 points, then, since $y \subseteq \Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2$, from Proposition 4.2, the conics c_1 and c_2 should share at least one point, a contradiction. If $|Q_1 \cap Q_2| = 1$, since $y \subseteq \Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2$, then the line y either contains the point $Q_1 \cap Q_2$ and, again, the conics c_1 and c_2 should share one point, a contradiction, or the line $y \subset \mathcal{O}_2$ is external to both c_1, c_2. If this last case occurs, then, under the inverse of the map v, the points of y correspond to bi–lines having in common $q + 1$ points of a line z and a further point $Z \notin z$. In particular let c_i' denote the conic of the circumscribed bundle $\mathcal{B}$ locus of centers of the imaginary bi–lines corresponding to points of c_i, $i = 1, 2$. It turns out that $c_1' \neq c_2'$ (see Remark 5.6) and z is the polar line of the point Z with respect to both c_1' and c_2', when q is odd or Z is the nucleus of both conics c_1' and c_2', when q is even. But this, again, contradicts Lemma 3.4. □

Theorem 7.3. *The set $\mathcal{C} \cup \Pi_i \cup \Pi_e \cup \mathcal{N}$ consists of $q^3(q^2-1)(q-1)/3 + (q^2+1)(q^2+q+1)$ planes mutually intersecting in at most one point.*

Proof.

1. Assume that $\sigma_1 \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\sigma_2 \in \Pi_i \cup \Pi_e \cup \mathcal{N}$. In this case $\sigma_1 \subset \mathcal{O}_4$ and σ_2 always contains a line in $\mathcal{O}_2 \cup \mathcal{O}_3$ and hence if $\sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2$ was a line then σ_1 should contain a point of $\mathcal{O}_2 \cup \mathcal{O}_3$.

2. Assume that $\sigma_1 \in \Pi_i \cup \Pi_e$ and $\sigma_2 \in \mathcal{N}$. In this case $\sigma_2 \subset \mathcal{S}$ whereas σ_1 meets $\mathcal{S}$ in the union of a conic and a line r. Hence if $\sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2$ was a line, such a line should be r. From [11, Table 7.7] the line r corresponds to the unique pencil of quadrics containing only bi–lines. On the other hand, a line of σ_2 corresponds to a pencil of quadrics always containing imaginary bi–lines or at most q bi–lines.

3. Assume that $\sigma_1 \in \Pi_e$ and $\sigma_2 \in \Pi_i$.

 Let $\Sigma_1 = (\pi_1, Q_1)$ the unique hyperbolic solid of $\mathcal{H}$ containing σ_1 and let $\Sigma_2 = (\pi_2, Q_2)$ the unique elliptic solid of $\mathcal{E}$ containing σ_2. Notice that $Q_1 \setminus \pi_1$ is always disjoint from $Q_2 \setminus \pi_2$. Let $c_i = \sigma_i \cap Q_i$ be the conic in Σ_i, $i = 1, 2$. Assume that $\sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2$ is a line r Since $r \subset \Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2$ it follows that $r \subset \pi_1 \cap \pi_2$. Under the inverse of the map v, the points of r correspond to bi–lines having in common $q + 1$ points of a line z and a further point $Z \notin z$. In particular, let c_i' denote the conic of the circumscribed bundle $\mathcal{B}$ locus of centers of the (imaginary) bi–lines corresponding to points of c_1 (c_2). It turns out that z is secant to c_1' and external to c_2'. In particular, z is the polar line of the point Z with respect to both c_1' and c_2', when q is odd or Z is the nucleus of both conics c_1' and c_2', when q is even. But this, again, contradicts Lemma 3.4.

□

Corollary 7.4. *There exists a constant dimension subspace code $\mathcal{K}$ with parameters $(6, q^3(q^2-1)(q-1)/3 + (q^2+1)(q^2+q+1), 4; 3)_q$.*

Corollary 7.5. *The code $\mathcal{K}$ admits a group of order $3(q^2+q+1)$ as an automorphism group. It is the normalizer of a Singer cyclic group of $\mathrm{PGL}(3, q)$.*

Remark 7.6. We say that a constant dimension subspace code is *complete* if it is maximal with respect to set–theoretic inclusion. Some computer tests performed with MAGMA [5] yield that our code is not complete when $q = 3$. Indeed there exist other 39 planes that can be added to our set. However, when $q = 4, 5$ our code is complete. We conjecture that our code is complete whenever $q \geq 4$.

References

[1] R.D. Baker, J.M.N. Brown, G.L. Ebert, J.C. Fisher, Projective bundles, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin* 1 (1994), no. 3, 329-336.

[2] R.D. Baker, A. Bonisoli, A. Cossidente, G.L. Ebert, Mixed partitions of $\mathrm{PG}(5, q)$, *Discrete Math.* 208/209 (1999), 23-29.

[3] E. Ballico, A. Cossidente, A. Siciliano, External flats to varieties in symmetric product spaces over finite fields, *Finite Fields Appl.* 9 (2003), no. 3, 300-309.

[4] J.N. Bray, D.F. Holt, Derek, C.M. Roney–Dougal, *The maximal subgroups of the low-dimensional finite classical groups*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 407,Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013.

[5] J. Cannon, C. Playoust, *An introduction to MAGMA*, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia, 1993.

[6] A. Cossidente, F. Pavese, On subspace codes, *Designs Codes Cryptogr.* (to appear) DOI 10.1007/s10623-014-0018-6.

[7] R. Figueroa, A family of not (V, l)–transitive projective planes of order q^3, $q \not\equiv 1 \mod 3$ and $q > 2$, *Math. Z.* 181 (1982), no. 4, 471-479.

[8] D.G. Glynn, *Finite projective planes and related combinatorial systems*, Ph.D. thesis, Adelaide Univ., 1978.

[9] D.G. Glynn, On Finite Division Algebras, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 44 (1987), no. 2, 253-266.

[10] T. Honold, M. Kiermaier, S. Kurz, Optimal binary subspace codes of length 6, constant dimension 3 and minimum distance 4, *Contemp. Math.-Am. Math. Soc.* 632 (2015), 157-176.

[11] J.W.P. Hirschfeld, *Projective Geometries over Finite Fields*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford Science Publications,The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1998.

[12] J.W.P. Hirschfeld, *Finite projective spaces of three dimensions*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford Science Publications,The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1985.

[13] J.W.P. Hirschfeld, J.A. Thas, *General Galois Geometries*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford Science Publications,The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1991.

[14] B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen, I*, Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 134 Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York (1967).

[15] J. Steiner, Systematische Entwicklung der Abhängigkeit geometrischer Gestalten von einander, Reimer, Berlin (1832).